

Program Years 7 - 9: Renewal

Winnebago County Community Mental Health Board

Overview:

Please use the renewal program's application and the due diligence table below when evaluating. For each question, please choose a number according to the scoring criteria provided below.

If there is an aspect of this submission that you believe disqualifies this agency from receiving funding, please choose "N/A" and contact Jason Holcomb (jholcomb@r1planning.org) & Alex McCann (amccann@r1planning.org).

Your notes can and will be summarized and provided to organizations to understand the strengths and weaknesses of their application. Please keep this in mind as you write.

Agency/Organization Name

Character Limit: 250

Project Name

Character Limit: 250

Amount Requested:*

What is the amount requested for this proposal?

Character Limit: 20

Target Populations:*

What Target Populations will this proposal serve?

Choices

- Infant & Early Childhood Mental Health (0-5)
- Serious Emotional Disturbance (3-21)
- Serious Mental Illness (18+)
- Substance Use Disorder (All Ages)

Program Execution:

How well was the proposed program executed?*

- *N/A - Contacted WCCMHB-contracted administrative staff*
- *1 - Program was not executed*
- *2 - Program was moderately executed*
- *3 - Program was executed as planned*
- *4 - Program was well executed*
- *5 - Program exceeded expectations*

Scoring Options: 1 - 5 or N/A

Rating Explanation:

Character Limit: 10000

Finances:

How well were funding dollars utilized?*

- *N/A - Contacted WCCMHB-contracted administrative staff*
- *1 - Program has not spent any awarded funds*
- *2 - Program has not made substantial progress in spending its award amount*
- *3 - Program is spending at a moderate pace*
- *4 - Program is effectively managing and spending its awarded funds*
- *5 - Program is on track to spend all awarded funds by the end of PY6*

Scoring Options: 1 - 5 or N/A

Rating Explanation:

Character Limit: 10000

Program Management:

How well did the agency work with the WCCMHB?*

- *N/A - Contacted WCCMHB-contracted administrative staff*
- *1 - WCCMHB expresses continuous barriers working with agency staff*
- *2 - WCCMHB has minimal barriers to working with agency staff*
- *3 - Program has a good working relationship*

Scoring Options: 1 - 3 or N/A

Rating Explanation:*Character Limit: 10000***How compliant was this agency and program in fulfilling their contractual obligations?***

- *N/A - Contacted WCCMHB-contracted administrative staff*
- *1 - Agency & program did not meet any expectations*
- *2 - Agency & program met most, but not all, of the contractual obligations*
- *3 - Agency & program met their contractual obligations*
- *4 - Agency & program went above expectations*
- *5 - Agency & program exceeded expectations*

Scoring Options: 1 - 5 or N/A**Rating Explanation:***Character Limit: 10000****Systems-Orientation:*****How systems-oriented was the program?***

- *N/A - Contacted WCCMHB-contracted administrative staff*
- *1 - Agency & program are not system-oriented*
- *2 - Agency & program are mildly systems-oriented*
- *3 - Agency & program are systems-oriented*
- *4 - Agency & program are above average in systems-oriented*
- *5 - Agency & program are exceptionally systems-oriented*

Scoring Options: 1 - 5 or N/A**Rating Explanation:***Character Limit: 10000***Does the program have a realistic, well-thought-out plan for partnering with the CCBHC?***

- *N/A - Contacted WCCMHB-contracted administrative staff*
- *1 - Agency does not have a plan to partner with the local CCBHC*
- *2 - Agency has a plan, but it is not well developed*
- *3 - Agency has a solid, realistic plan to partner with the CCBHC*

- 4 - Agency has a partnership with the CCHBC, but could use refining
- 5 - Agency already partners with the CCBHC effectively

Scoring Options: 1 - 5 or N/A

Rating Explanation:

Character Limit: 10000

Narrative Construction:

How well does this narrative convey the program's vision?*

Please consider grammar, tone, concision, and authenticity.

- N/A - Contacted WCCMHB-contracted administrative staff
- 1 - Application has mostly grammatical mistakes, is not concise, and lacks authenticity
- 2 - Application has many grammatical mistakes, is mildly concise, and lacks authenticity
- 3 - Application contains minimal grammatical mistakes, is concise, and has authenticity
- 4 - Application contains no grammatical mistakes, is very concise, and conveys authenticity
- 5 - Application has no grammatical mistakes, is highly concise, and conveys an original and authentic tone

Scoring Options: 1 - 5 or N/A

Rating Explanation:

Character Limit: 10000

Final Recommendation:

Please choose the recommendation for this program going into the PY7-9 cycle:

Choices

Increase in Funding (up to 10%)

Maintain Current Level of Funding

Reduction in Current Funding (specify recommended funding amount)

No Funding

Additional Comments:*

Please enter any additional comments you have about this proposal.

- *If you have none, please enter in N/A*

- *If you choose 'reduction in current funding' please specify how much of a reduction you wish to see*

Character Limit: 10000

Thank you

Thank you for taking the time to review this Program Years 7 - 9 Renewal Application.